

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/698,156 10/31/2003 Daniel Wayne Motl US-03-0803 9847 **EXAMINER** 7590 11/18/2004 Michael A. Mochinski FRIDIE JR, WILLMON Attorney at Law ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Suite 514 3300 Bass Lake Road 3722 Brooklyn Center, MN 55429

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				. (1
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/698,156	MOTL, DANIEL WAYNE	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Willmon Fridie	3722	
- Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicated Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 3 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) doeriod for reply sis specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will ply received by the Office later than three months after a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 17 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thin ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI, by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1.
Status				
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 12 May 2004.		
·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	☐ This action is non-final.		
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
	on of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
4)⊠ 6 5)⊠ 6 6)⊠ 6 7)⊠ 6 8)□ 6	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the applea) Of the above claim(s) is/are claim(s) <u>15-22</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 13</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>8-12,14 and 23-29</u> is/are objectaim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration. cted to. n and/or election requirement.		
10)□ T	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a specificant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the path or declaration is objected to be	D accepted or b) objected to In to the drawing(s) be held in abeya De correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	I).
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copi	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A the priority documents have been I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)		,	
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO- No(s)/Mail Date 11/15/04.	-948) Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lasi et al..

Lasi et al. discloses all of the subject matter as set forth in the claims and is identical to the invention as broadly recited. Some of the claimed elements clearly disclosed by the reference are: an elongate body (2), a plunger assembly (35), an end plug (26) and a venting bore (33). In regard to claims 2 and 6, Lasi appears to have these claimed features even though they are not numbered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,156 Page 3

Art Unit: 3722

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating

obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 5 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lasi et al..

In regard to claim 5, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to locate the bore of Lasi et al. in the claimed location, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

In regard to claim 13, Official Notice is taken of the use of flattened sections to asssit in rotation of an element. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use this since the use of such is old and well known in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 15-22 are allowed.

Claim8-12,14 and 23-29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,156 Page 4

Art Unit: 3722

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Willmon Fridie whose telephone number is 703-308

1866. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrea Wellington can be reached on 703 -308-2159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

wf

WILLMON FRIDIE, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER